## REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

#### **APPEAL NO.257 OF 2020**

AKHILESH KHANNA S/O SHRI D. KHANNA R/O HOUSE NO.3299, SECTOR 19-D, CHANDIGARH, UT, 160019.

...Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, GREATER MOHALI AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, PUDA BHAWAN, SECTOR 62, SAS NAGAR (MOHALI), PUNJAB-160062.

...Respondent

Memo No. R.E.A.T./2022/50

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, BLOCK B, PLOT NO.3, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeals titled and numbered as above was filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeals is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this 01st day of February, 2022.

REGISTRAR

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

# IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL PUNJAB, SAS NAGAR MOHALI.

Appeal No. 257 of 2020

### **Memo of Parties**

Akhilesh Khanna s/o Shri D.Khanna R/o House no.3299, Sector 19-D, Chandigarh, U.T. 160019.

.... Appellant

#### Versus

Chief Administrator, Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, PUDA Bhawan, Sector 62, SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab - 160062

.... Respondent

Place: SAS Nagar

Date: 10.11.2020

(D.khanna)

Advocate

Counsel for the Appellant

# REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH APPEAL NO.257 OF 2020

AKHILESH KHANNA S/O SHRI D. KHANNA R/O HOUSE NO.3299, SECTOR 19-D, CHANDIGARH, UT, 160019.

...Appellant

#### **VERSUS**

CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR, GREATER MOHALI AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, PUDA BHAWAN, SECTOR 62, SAS NAGAR (MOHALI), PUNJAB-160062.

...Respondent

\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. D. Khanna, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Bhupinder Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*

This appeal has been filed against the impugned order dated 08.10.2020 passed by the Chairperson, Real Estate Regulatory Authority(hereinafter referred to as the Authority).

The complaint under Section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the Act) was initiated with a very limited grievance that there was delay in handing over the possession to the appellant, which entitled him to interest for the said period.

The complaint was accepted, though the relief was partial as in Para 8 while accepting the complaint, the Authority observed that "in view of the nature of the functioning of the respondent a grace period of one year is allowed and the respondent is directed to pay interest at the rate prescribed in the Punjab State Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017

as applicable from to time with effect from 22.02.2019 till the actual handing over of possession."

Upon perusal of the material before us and after hearing learned counsel for the parties, we are of the opinion that the grace period of one year allowed to the respondent on account of the nature of its functioning is grossly arbitrary and without any justification, hence liable to be set aside.

We have held so similarly in some other appeals (Appeal No.15 of 2021, Appeal No.23 of 2021, Appeal No.246 of 2020 and Appeal No.19 of 2021) also where such a finding was returned by the Authority.

While ordering so, we hold the appellant entitled to interest at State Bank of India highest Marginal Cost of Lending Rate plus two percent in terms of proviso to section 18(1) of the Act from 22.02.2018 till the actual handing over of possession.

Disposed of with the aforementioned terms.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.) CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D & S. JUDGE (RETD.) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

ER. ASHOK KUMAR GARG, C.E. (RETD.)
MEMBER(ADMINISTRATIVE/ TECHNICAL)

January 19th, 2022

AN

Certified To Be True Capy

2-2022

Strar Exate Appellate Tribunel Pumps