REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

APPLICATION NO. 69 OF 2021 AND APPEAL NO. 259 OF 2020

PARVESH BANSAL

VERSUS

BHARAT MITTAL & ANR.

Memo No. R.E.A.T./2022/49

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1ST FLOOR, BLOCK B, PLOT NO.3, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeals titled and numbered as above was filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeals is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this 01st day of February, 2022.

REGISTRAR

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

Date of filing: 10 11 2020
Date of Receipt by Post: ______

Registration No. 259 2020

Signature: Viel polder Kon

Registrar:

BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT SAS NAGAR MOHALI

F.A.NO. 259 OF 2020

Parvesh Bansal S/o Sh. Prem Parkash Bansal, H.No.124, Secto-2A, Adarsh Nagar, Mandi Gobindgarh, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab-147301.

..Appellant

VERSUS

- Bharat Mittal, Authorized Signatory, M/S Sushma Buildtech Limited, Unit No.B-107, Business Complex, Elante Mall, Ist Floor, Industrial Area, Phase-1, Chandigarh-160002.
- Sushma Buildtech Limited through its Managing Director, Plot No.381, Industrial area, Phase-1, Chandigarh-160002.

...Respondents

Appeal under Section 44 of Real Estate Regulation and development Act 2016 for seeking modification of order dated: 18.08.2020 passed by the Ld. Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, by way of awarding interest for the 6 month grace period in Complaint No.GC1193 of 2019.



Respectfully Showeth:

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH APPLICATION NO. 69 OF 2021 AND APPEAL NO. 259 OF 2020

PARVESH BANSAL

VERSUS

BHARAT MITTAL & ANR.

Present: - Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that he does not wish to press the instant appeal.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that he has no objection to the withdrawal of the appeal.

In view of the above, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the appeal as not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.)
CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D. & S. JUDGE (RETD.)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

ER. ASHOK KUMAR GARG, C.E. (RETD.)
MEMBER(ADMINISTRATIVE/TECHNICAL)

January 19, 2022

AN

VDIG ARH