BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB, FOREST COMPLEX, SECTOR 68, MOHALI, SAS NAGAR PUNJAB-160062

Apre 11 No 26 of 2020

MEMO OF PARTIES

Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (PUDA), PUDA Bhawan, GREEN Avenue, Amritsar Punjab-143001. ...Appellant

Versus

- 1. Mehak Aggarwal, House no. 21, Phase 2, Urban Estate, Patiala Punjab-147002.
 - 2. Real Estate Regulatory Authority, First Floor, Plot No.3. Block-3. Marg, Sector-18/A, Chandigarh-160018. Madhya ...Respondents

Place: SAS Nagar

Date: 11.12.2020

Con (Bh ipinder Singh) Advocate Counsel for the Appellant



REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH APPEAL NO. 267 OF 2020

PUNJAB URBAN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, THROUGH ESTATE OFFICER, PUDA, AMRITSAR VERSUS

MEHAK AGGARWAL AND ANR.

Present: -

Mr. Bhupinder Singh Advocate for the appellant. Ms. Mehak Aggarwal respondent No.1 in person.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Bansal-father-in-law of Ms. Mehak

Aggarwal-respondent No.1.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

We have received a communication in the form of a reply from the respondent No.1-Ms. Mehak Aggarwal, where in para No.1 to 7, it has been stated that the execution application before the Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab was withdrawn. The prayer was allowed by the Authority.

It has also been stated that for personal reasons the respondent does not wish to contest the case with the appellant any further and desires to give a burial to all the claims as set up in the complaint and granted by the Authority in the order impugned before us.

Today, when the matter was called out Ms. Mehak Aggarwal-respondent No.1 along with her father-in-law Mr. Dinesh Kumar Bansal authorized representative are present before us and they stated likewise.

In view of the above, we deem it appropriate to give a quietus to the matter and more particularly when the complaint and execution etc. have already been withdrawn before the Authority as has been stated before us and stated in the reply as well. Learned counsel for the appellant states that in view of the stand of the complainant/respondent No.1, he would have no cause to espouse the appeal and hence prays it be disposed of as not pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.) CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D & S. JUDGE (RETD.) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

ER. ASHOK KUMAR GARG, C.E. (RETD.)
MEMBER(ADMINISTRATIVE/ TECHNICAL)

February 01, 2022 AN EN M

Certified To Be True Copy

Real Estate Appellate Libuar Punish Chandigarh 0 2222