## REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

### **APPEAL NO. 154 OF 2022**

M/s Barnala Builders through its Authorized representative Sh. Satish Sharma, Maya Garden City, Opposite McDonalds, NH-22, Chandigarh-Ambala Highway, Zirakpur, SAS Nagar, Punjab.

...Appellant

### Versus

Col. Vishal Dubey, R/o House No. 221, Sector 12, Panchkula, Haryana.

....Respondent

Memo No. R.E.A.T./2022/521

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, BLOCK B, PLOT NO.3, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeals titled and numbered as above was filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeals is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this 10<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2022.

REGISTRAR

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

# BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

Appeal No. \_\_\_\_\_\_ of 2022

### MEMO OF PARTIES

M/s Barnala Builders through its Authorized representative Sh. Satish Sharma, Maya Garden City, Opposite McDonalds, NH-22, Chandigarh, Ambala Highway, Zirakpur, SAS Nagar, Punjab

...Appellant

Versus

MAGORIES : 1

Col. Vishal Dubey, R/o House No. 221, Sector 12, Panchkula, Haryana;

...Respondent

Date: 07.08.2022 Place: Chandigarh

(JATIN BANSAL) (KEERTI SANDHU) & (SAHIL SHARMA)

**Advocates** 

Counsel for the Appellant



(3)

# REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH APPLICATION NO. 210 OF 2022

## AND APPEAL NO. 154 OF 2022

### M/S BARNALA BUILDERS

### **VERSUS**

### COL. VISHAL DUBEY

\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. Jatin Bansal, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Rishabh Bhandari for Mr. Jagan Nath Bhandari,
Advocate for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the appellant states that the matter has been resolved inter se between the parties and thus prays that he be permitted to withdraw the appeal.

Mr. Rishabh Bhandari appearing for the respondent contends that they have no objection to the appeal being withdrawn on account of the settlement between the parties.

In view of above the appeal is permitted to be withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the appellant further states that the impugned order has been satisfied and the respondent has been given is due in terms of that said order. He further states that in view of this the amount deposited by him as a prerequisite under Section

43(5) has to be returned to him.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the appellant at **b**ar, which is not controverted by the respondent, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the appeal as not pressed and further direct that the amount deposited by the appellant in

compliance of Section 43(5) and retained by us in fixed deposit be returned to the appellant along with interest.

We make it clear that this statement at any point of time is contested by the respondent the appellant shall be liable to be proceeded against for misleading the Court and obtaining this order on the strength of such a misstatement.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.)
CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D & S. JUDGE (RETD.) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

ER. ASHOK KUMAR)GARG, C.E. (RETD.)
MEMBER (ADMINISTRATIVE/ TECHNICAL)

September 29, 2022

DS

Cortified to Be True Copy
Registrar
Real Estate Appellate Talburg Punjab
Chandigarh

0/10/2022