### REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

#### APPEAL NO. 146 OF 2022

Kanika Gupta W/o Sanjeev Gupta R/o House No. 332, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana-143114

...Appellant

#### Versus

M/s Country Colonisers Pvt. Ltd. Regd. Office at P.O. Rayon and Silk Mills, adjoining Coca Cola Depot, G.T Road, Chhehatra, Amritsar, Punjab.

....Respondent

#### APPEAL NO. 147 OF 2022

Sanjeev Gupta, S/o Sh. Sat Parkash Gupta R/o House No. 332, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana-143114

...Appellant

#### Versus

M/s Country Colonisers Pvt. Ltd. Regd. Office at P.O. Rayon and Silk Mills, adjoining Coca Cola Depot, G.T Road, Chhehatra, Amritsar, Punjab.

....Respondent

#### APPEAL NO. 148 OF 2022

Sanjeev Gupta, S/o Sh. Sat Parkash Gupta R/o House No. 332, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana-143114

...Appellant

#### Versus

M/s Country Colonisers Pvt. Ltd. Regd. Office at P.O. Rayon and Silk Mills, adjoining Coca Cola Depot, G.T Road, Chhehatra, Amritsar, Punjab.

....Respondent

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, BLOCK B, PLOT NO.3, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeals titled and numbered as above were filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeals is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this 25th day of January, 2023.

manura faces

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

# 0

#### IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

Appeal No. 146 of 2022

#### MEMO OF PARTIES

Kanika Gupta W/o Sanjeev Gupta, resident of House No. 332, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana-134114.

...Appellant

#### Versus

M/s Country Colonisers Pvt. Ltd. Regd. Office at P.O. Rayon and Silk Mills, adjoining Coca Cola Depot, G.T. Road, Chheharta, Amritar Punjab.

.....Respondent



Place: Chandigarh
Date: 2507 2022

Through Counsel

(Udit Mendiratta, Tanshi Mendiratta & Neeraj Chauhan) P-2609/2014 P-3075/2016 P-3297/2014

> Advocates Counsels for the Appellant

# IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

Appeal No. 147 of 2022

#### MEMO OF PARTIES

Sanjeev Gupta, S/0 Sh. Sat Parkash Gupta, resident of House No. 332, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana-134114.

...Appellant

#### Versus

M/s Country Colonisers Pvt. Ltd. Regd. Office at P.O. Rayon and Silk Mills, adjoining Coca Cola Depot, G.T. Road, Chheharta, Amritar Punjab.

....Respondent



Place: Chandigarh

Date:

Through Counsel

(Udit Mendiratta, Tanshi Mendiratta & Neeraj P-2609/2014

P-3075/2016

Advocates -Counsels for the Appellant



## IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

Appeal No. 148 of 2022

#### **MEMO OF PARTIES**

Sanjeev Gupta, S/0 Sh. Sat Parkash Gupta, resident of House No. 332, Sector-6, Panchkula, Haryana-134114.

...Appellant

#### Versus

M/s Country Colonisers Pvt. Ltd. Regd. Office at P.O. Rayon and Silk Mills, adjoining Coca Cola Depot, G.T. Road, Chheharta, Amritar Punjab.

.....Respondent



Place: Chandigarh

Date: 28/07

Through Counsel

(Udit Mendiratta, Tanshi Mendiratta & Neera P-2609/2014

P-3075/2016

**Advocates** 

Counsels for the Appellant

9

# REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

APPEAL NO. 146 OF 2022

APPEAL NO. 147 OF 2022

KANIKA GUPTA VERSUS M/S COUNTRY COLONISERS PVT. LTD.

SANJEEV GUPTA VERSUS

LTD. M/S COUNTRY COLONISERS PVT. LTD.

APPEAL NO. 148 OF 2022

SANJEEV GUPTA
VERSUS
M/S COUNTRY COLONISERS PVT. LTD.

\*\*\*

**Present: -** None for the appellants.

Mr. Mohit Uppal, Advocate for Mr. Tejeshwar Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

经验验

There is no representation on behalf of the appellants. Learned counsel representing the respondent states that matter has been duly settled between the parties. A settlement deed has been arrieved between the parties and has been placed on record as mark 'X'. Since there is no representation on behalf of the appellants to either deny or affirm the settlement deed, but in view of the various clauses of the deed mark 'X' stating clearly the terms of the settlement, we are of the opinion that the appeals need not be kept pending on the board any logner. We therefore, dispose of the appeals in terms of the deed of settlement mark 'X', but grant liberty to the appellants to apprise the Tribunal for revival of the proceedings in case the assertions made by the learned counsel for the respondent and the terms of the settlement remain uncomplied with.

Photocopy of this order be placed in connected

cases.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.) CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, Q & S. JUDGE (RETD.)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

ER. ASHOK KUMAN GARG, C.E. (RETD.)
MEMBER (ADMINISTRATIVE/ TECHNICAL)

January 12, 2023 DS

Cortified To Be True Copy

On an and Court

Registrar

Real Estate Appellate Valburgal Pumple

Chandington