### REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNIAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

#### APPEAL NO. 167 OF 2022

Mr. Dr. Puneet Bedi W/o Sh. Hardeep Singh Bedi earlier resident of House No.224, Sector-2 Panchkula now resident of House No.321(SF), Sector-4 MDC, Panchkula

...Appellants

Versus

Parsvnath Developers Ltd, Parsvanth Tower Near Shahadra Metro Station, Delhi-110032

....Respondent

Memo No. R.E.A.T./2024/ 15

day of January, 2024.

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1ST FLOOR, BLOCK В, **PLOT** NO.3, **MADHYA** MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeal titled and numbered as above was filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeal is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this 15th maneral from

REGISTRAR

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB

# BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB, CHANDIGARH

Appeal No. ...16.7......of 2022

#### MEMO OF PARTIES

Mrs. Dr. Puneet Bedi W/o Sh. Hardeep Singh Bedi earlier resident of House No. 224, sector 2 Panchkula now resident of H.NO. 321 (SF), Sector-4, MDC, Panchkula-134114.

....Appellants

#### VERSUS

Parsvnath Developers Ltd, Parsvanath Tower Near Shahadra Metro Station, Delhi 110032



.....Respondents

CHANDIGARH
Dated:22.08.2022

(RAVI KANT & DURGA DUTT SHARMA)
ADVOCATES

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

# 3

# REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH APPLICATION NO. 232 OF 2022 AND APPEAL NO. 167 OF 2022 DR. PUNEET BEDI

# PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD.

**VERSUS** 

\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. Durga Dutt Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Ravi Kant, Advocate for the appellant Mr. Yashvir Balhara, Advocate for Mrs. Rupali S Verma, Advocate for the respondent

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the appellant that the matter has been resolved amicably. A settlement deed has been placed on record as Mark-X. The respondent has also stated that the matter has been resolved in terms of the settlement deed. Having regard to the above and the request made by the learned counsel for the appellant that the appeal be disposed of in terms of the settlement, we deem it appropriate to accept the prayer. Accorrdingly, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the settlement.

ARPELLATE TRIBUNAL PUNISHED ROPE WAS A CHANDIGARM

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.)
CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D & S. JUDGE (RETD.)

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

Certified To Be True Copy

Registrar Real Estate Appellate Tribunet Puntab Chandigarh

eth alanau

January 08, 2024