### REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB SCO No. 95-98, Bank Square, P.F.C Building, Sector-17-B, Chandigarh

Subject: -

### **APPEAL NO. 05 of 2024**

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A, Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### Appeal No.06 of 2024

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant



Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A, Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### Appeal No.07 of 2024

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A,
Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### Appeal No.08 of 2024

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A, Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### Appeal No.10 of 2024

M/s Online Finvest& Infrastructure (P) Ltd., #280, Model Gram, District Ludhiana-141002

...Appellant

### Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh 1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A, Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### Appeal No.11 of 2024



M/s Online Finvest & Infrastructure (P) Ltd., #280, Model Gram, District Ludhiana-141002

...Appellant

### Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A, Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

Memo No. R.E.A.T./2024/ 33

To,

REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, PUNJAB 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, BLOCK B, PLOT NO.3, MADHYA MARG, SECTOR-18, CHANDIGARH-160018.

Whereas appeals titled and numbered as above were filed before the Real Estate Appellate Tribunal, Punjab. As required by Section 44 (4) of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, a certified copy of the order passed in aforesaid appeals is being forwarded to you and the same may be uploaded on website.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Hon'ble Tribunal this 25th day

of January, 2024.

REGISTRAR

REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB



## IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

Appeal No. 05/2023

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd

.....Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

....Respondent

### MEMO OF PARTIES

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No. - 14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal Road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludiana -141122.

.....Appellant

Versus

t icur.

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

RPELLATES Floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18A, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Place:

Signature of the appellant

Through Counsel

Mohit Dhiman & Pooja& Manisha Maggu

(PH/5981/2021) & (PH/2251/2019)& (PH/3341/2022)

(Advocates)

1

## IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

Appeal No. 06/2024

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd

....Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

....Respondent

### **MEMO OF PARTIES**

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No. – 14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal Road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludiana – 141122.

...Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh
Ist Floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18A, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Signature of the appellant

Through Counsel

Mohit Dhiman & Pooja& Manisha Maggu

(PH/5981/2021) & (PH/2251/2019)& (PH/3341/2022)

(Advocates)

## IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

APPeal No. 07/2024

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd

....Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

....Respondent

### **MEMO OF PARTIES**

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No. – 14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal Road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludiana – 141122.

.....Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh
Ist Floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18A, Chandigarh

Date: 12/12/23

...Respondent

Signature of the appellant

Through Counsel

Mohit Dhiman & Pooja& Manisha Maggu

(PH/5981/2021) & (PH/2251/2019)& (PH/3341/2022)

(Advocates)



## IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT **CHANDIGARH**

Appeal No. 08/2024

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd

.....Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

....Respondent

### **MEMO OF PARTIES**

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No. - 14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal Road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludiana -141122.

.....Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

Floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18A, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Place:

Date:

Signature of the appellant

Through Counsel

Mohit Dhiman & Pooja& Manisha Maggu

(PH/5981/2021) & (PH/2251/2019)& (PH/3341/2022)

(Advocates)

## IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

APPeal No. 10/2024

M/s Online Finvest & Infrastructure (P) Ltd

...Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab

....Respondent

### **MEMO OF PARTIES**

M/s Online Finvest & Infrastructure (P) Ltd.,

#280, Model Gram, District Ludhiana-141002

...Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab

foor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18A, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Place: Chandigarh

Date: 10 12 73

Through Counsel

Mohit Dhiman & Pooja& Manisha Maggu

(PH/5981/2021) & (PH/2251/2019)& (PH/3341/2022)

(Advocates)

# IN THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

Appeal No. 11/2024

M/s Online Finvest & Infrastructure (P) Ltd

....Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab

....Respondent

## **MEMO OF PARTIES**

M/s Online Finvest & Infrastructure (P) Ltd.,

#280, Model Gram, District Ludhiana-141002

...Appellant

Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab

st Floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18A, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Place: Chandigarh

Date: 7/12/03

Through Counsel

Mohit Dhiman & Rooja& Manisha Maggu

(PH/5981/2021) & (PH/2251/2019)& (PH/3341/2022)

(Advocates)

## THE REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AT CHANDIGARH

### **APPEAL NO. 05 of 2024**

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A,

Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### Appeal No.06 of 2024

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A,

Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### **Appeal No.07 of 2024**

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A,

Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

**Appeal No.08 of 2024** 

2

M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd, Shop No.14-15, Palm Heights, VPO Jaspal Bangar, Sidhwan Canal road, Southern Bye Pass, Ludhiana-141122

...Appellant

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh 1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A, Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### **Appeal No.10 of 2024**

M/s Online Finvest& Infrastructure (P) Ltd., #280, Model Gram, District Ludhiana-141002

...Appellant

### Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh 1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A, Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

### Appeal No.11 of 2024



M/s Online Finvest& Infrastructure (P) Ltd., #280, Model Gram, District Ludhiana-141002

...Appellant

## Versus

Real Estate Regulatory Authority Punjab at Chandigarh

1st floor, Plot No.3, Block B, Madhya Marg, Sector 18-A,
Chandigarh

....Respondent/Complainant

Present: - Ms. Manisha Maggu, Advocate for Mr. Mohit Dhiman, Advocate for the appellant

CORAM: JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.), CHAIRMAN

SH. S.K. GARG DISTT. & SESSIONS JUDGE (RETD.),

MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

# JUDGMENT: JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.), CHAIRMAN (ORAL)

By this order we will dispose of six appeals bearing Nos.5,
 7, 8, 10 and 11 of 2024 titled M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd Vs. Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd Vs. Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd Vs. Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, M/s Malhotra Land Developers & Colonisers Pvt. Ltd Vs. Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab, M/s Online Finvest & Infrastructure (P) Ltd Vs Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab and M/s Online Finvest & Infrastructure (P) Ltd Vs Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab as they have commonality of facts, involving similar controversy.

What has been impugned before us is an order passed by the Authority imposing a penalty under Section 60 and 61 of the Act for not furnishing and uploading the information and other releavant data related to the project in terms of the requirement of law i.e. Section 60 and 61 of the Act. For the purposes of reference the provisions of law are extracted herebelow:

"60. If any promoter provides false information or contravenes the provisions of section 4, he shall be liable to a penalty which may extend up to five percent of the

1

estimated cost of the real estate project, as determined by the Authority."

"61 If any promoter contravenes any other provisions of this Act, other than provide under section 3 or section 4, or the rules or regulations made thereunder, he shall be liable to a penalty which may extend up to five per cent of the estimated cost of the real estate project as determined by the Authority."

3. Since the appeals are being disposed of through a common order we would for the purposes of reference extract here below the particulars of the company, and the amount of penalty imposed in a tabulated form:

## In Appeal No.05 of 2024 (Calculation Sheet)

Rs.25,000/-
•, •••

### In Appeal No.06 of 2024 (Calculation Sheet)

Penalty Imposed as per	Rs.25,000/-
Impugned Order	

### In Appeal No.07 of 2024 (Calculation Sheet)

Penalty Imposed as per	Rs.25,000/-
Impugned Order	h Nec

## In Appeal No.08 of 2024 (Calculation Sheet)

Penalty Imposed as per	Rs.25,000/-
Impugned Order	

5

### In Appeal No.10 of 2024 (Calculation Sheet)

Penalty Imposed as per	Rs.25,000/-
Impugned Order	

### In Appeal No.11 of 2024 (Calculation Sheet)

Penalty Imposed as per	Rs.25,000/-
Impugned Order	

- 4. The argument raised before us is that the amount of penalty imposed is highly excessive particularly when the developer became compliant after issuance of notice.
- 5. Another submission which has been made is that the Authority is applying different yardsticks in imposition of penalty because in some of the cases the penalty imposed is Rs.10,000/- and in at least one of the cases i.e. in Appeal No. 09 of 2024 titled as Ms. Sangrur Builders Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Punjab the penalty imposed is to the tune of more than Rs.1,00,000/- for each default.
- 6. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant. There is no dispute about the fact that the appellant has been in default and not compliant of provisions of Section 60 and 61 of the Act. However, after notices were issued the developers did furnish the requisite information, but for the violation committed they have been visited with penal consequences as indicated above in the foregoing paras.
- 7. We are unable to accept the plea of penalty being excessive for the simple reason that the developer is indeed in default

6

in compliance of provisions of law and merely because the appellant perceives the penalty as excessive can be no ground for interference and a reason to substitute our opinion in preference to the one by the Authority. The quantum of penalty ought to be interfered with only if it is shown to be highly disproportionate to the default. In the instant cases penalty of the amounts noticed in foregoing paras cannot be termed to be perverse or aggravated to warrant an interference. These appeals are therefore, dismissed.

8. We do however find some merit in the plea of the appellant that it would be desirable to apply a yardstick in imposition of penalty proportionate to the defaults and the amount so imposed should not seem whimsical. The Authority would do well to formulate through an internal mechanism some methodology to establish unanimity or near uniformity in the impositions of such penalties. Dismissed as above.

JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER (RETD.)
CHAIRMAN

S.K. GARG, D.& S. JUDGE (RETD.)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

January <u>15</u>, 2024 SR

Certified To Be True Copy

Registrar Real Estate Appellate Tribunal Punjab Chandigent

25 01 2024